1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF THE ST
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF 2003 AND 221 PM 4:59
3	THOMAS B. LINDBER EAGUE MCKS. CLERK
4	DIVISION #6 BY: Marcen
5	00
6	
7	STATE OF ARIZONA, ) Yavapai Superior ) Court No.
8	) CR2008-1339 Plaintiff, )
9	) Excerpt from oral vs.
10	) argument STEVEN CARROL DEMOCKER, )
11	) Defendant. )
12	)
13	
14	000
15	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
16	000
17	Prescott, Arizona January 16, 2009
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Sandra K Markham, CR, RPR, CSR Certified Reporter
24	Arizona License No. 50001
25	ORIGINAL

1	APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:
2	For Plaintiff: MARK AINLEY,
3	Deputy County Attorney, Yavapai County Attorney's Office.
4	For Defendant:
5	JOHN SEARS and LARRY HAMMOND, Attorneys at Law.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	·
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 THE COURT: Is there a difference between the use of the words similar and consistent in terms of lab 3 parlance versus members of the public and common parlance? 4 MR. AINLEY: Well, unless we're going to explain 5 lab parlance to the Grand Jury, I don't believe that there 6 is and if you look in the -- in a thesaurus for 7 consistent, you will find the word similar in the same 8 definition. 9 So Grand Jury presentations are often, if 10 you will excuse the phrase, dumbed down to the level of 11 the common person who is coming in whose experience is 12 with watching CSI on TV, but little else. 13 ---000---14 CERTIFICATE 15 I, SANDRA K MARKHAM, Certified Reporter, do 16 hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true 17 and accurate transcript of the proceedings had and 18 testimony given in the hearing of the matter entitled as 19 upon the first page hereof. 20 Dated: January 22, 2009. 21 22 Sandra K Markham, RPR, CR 23 Certified Reporter Arizona License No. 50001 24 25